IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PETE TRIFUNOVSKI,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Civil Action No. 5:15-CV-0525 (DEP)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFF

PETE TRIFUNOVSKI, *Pro se* 3362 Woods Road Baldwinsville, NY 13027

FOR DEFENDANT

HON. RICHARD S. HARTUNIAN United States Attorney P.O. Box 7198 100 S. Clinton Street Syracuse, NY 13261-7198

DAVID E. PEEBLES U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE JEREMY A. LINDEN, ESQ. Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

ORDER

Currently pending before the court in this action, in which plaintiff seeks judicial review of an adverse administrative determination by the Commissioner of Social Security, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), are cross-motions for judgment on the pleadings. Oral argument was heard in connection with those motions on March 31, 2016, during a telephone conference conducted on the record. At the close of argument, I issued a bench decision in which, after applying the requisite deferential review standard, I found that the Commissioner's determination resulted from the application of proper legal principles and is supported by substantial evidence, providing further detail regarding my reasoning and addressing the specific issues raised by the plaintiff in this appeal.

After due deliberation, and based upon the court's oral bench decision, which has been transcribed, is attached to this order, and is incorporated herein by reference, it is hereby

This matter, which is before me on consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), has been treated in accordance with the procedures set forth in General Order No. 18. Under that General Order once issue has been joined, an action such as this is considered procedurally, as if cross-motions for judgment on the pleadings had been filed pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

ORDERED, as follows:

1) Defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings is

GRANTED.

2) The Commissioner's determination that the plaintiff's retirement

insurance benefit had been calculated correctly, and thus plaintiff is not

entitled to an increase in benefits under the Social Security Act, is

AFFIRMED.

3) The clerk is respectfully directed to enter judgment, based upon

this determination, DISMISSING plaintiff's complaint in its entirety.

4) The clerk is directed to forward a copy of this order to the

plaintiff in accordance with this court's local rules.

David E. Peebles

U.S. Magistrate Judge

Dated: April

April 4, 2016

Syracuse, NY

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

PETE TRIFUNOVSKI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

5:15-CV-525

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

-----x

Transcript of a Decision held during a

Telephone Conference on March 31, 2016, at the

James Hanley Federal Building, 100 South Clinton

Street, Syracuse, New York, the HONORABLE DAVID E.

PEEBLES, United States Magistrate Judge, Presiding.

APPEARANCES

(By Telephone)

For Plaintiff: PETE TRIFUNOVSKI, Pro Se

3362 Woods Road

Baldwinsville, New York 13027

For Defendant: SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Office of Regional General Counsel

Region II

26 Federal Plaza Room 3904 New York, New York 10278 BY: JEREMY A. LINDEN, ESQ.

Jodi L. Hibbard, RPR, CSR, CRR
Official United States Court Reporter
100 South Clinton Street
Syracuse, New York 13261-7367
(315) 234-8547

(The following is an excerpt from the telephone conference held on 3/31/16.)

(In Chambers, Mr. Trifunovski and Mr. Linden present via telephone.)

2.2

2.5

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you have asked me to review a determination by the Commissioner. My role is limited, I have to ensure that proper legal principles were applied, and that the determination of the Commissioner is supported by substantial evidence.

In this case, there really doesn't seem to be any dispute about the underlying facts so the calculation --

MR. TRIFUNOVSKI: But I --

THE COURT: Please don't interrupt me, I'm -- this is my decision. And if you disagree, you have a right to appeal to the Court of Appeals.

The calculation began with average indexed monthly earnings which the agency calculated at 2,055 which is actually higher than the plaintiff calculated, his calculation was 678 so that benefits the plaintiff, the increase.

The first dispute is the first ben. point and it is very clear under the regulations, RS 605.900 that the correct first ben. point is \$749 because it is clear that

Mr. Trifunovski turned 62 in 2011, and if you multiply that by .9, the first, the first element or component of the

benefit is \$674.10. The second ben. point is supplied by 1 2 multiplying the difference between, in this case 2,055 and 3 749, by .32. It is, the result is \$417.92 so there's a benefit before adjustment for early retirement of 10 -- of 4 1,092.02 per month. The reduction is made for early retirement, five-ninths of a percent for the first 36 months 6 7 prior to age 66, five-twelfths of 1 percent for the remaining six months because he was 42 months shy of normal retirement 8 9 age. Rounding down from \$846.32 which is the figure I got, 10 the benefit monthly is \$846. It has since been adjusted for 11 cost of living increases and is now 876.70. I find that that 12 is pursuant to the regulations, it is supported by 13 substantial evidence. I will award judgment on the pleadings 14 to the defendant, I will confirm the Commissioner's 1.5 determination. 16 Mr. Trifunovski, you have a right to appeal. 17 notice of appeal should be filed within 30 days of the date 18 that judgment is entered on my decision, okay? Thank you. 19 MR. TRIFUNOVSKI: So your decision is this is 20 right, right? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 2.2 MR. TRIFUNOVSKI: What they giving to me, right? THE COURT: Correct, yes. 23 24 MR. TRIFUNOVSKI: I think that's fraud, man, is

2.5

absolute fraud.

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	
4	I, JODI L. HIBBARD, RPR, CRR, CSR, Federal
5	Official Realtime Court Reporter, in and for the
6	United States District Court for the Northern
7	District of New York, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that
8	pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States
9	Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcript of the stenographically reported
11	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and
12	that the transcript page format is in conformance
13	with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of
14	the United States.
15	
16	Dated this 4th day of April, 2016.
17	
18	
19	/S/ JODI L. HIBBARD
20	JODI L. HIBBARD, RPR, CRR, CSR Official U.S. Court Reporter
21	Official 0.5. Court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	